UCAB Meeting Minutes  
Week 8  
February 27, 2018

I. Call to Order  
   A. 2:13 p.m.
       A. Members present: Ashley Awe, Dennis Mahaketa, Hector Estrada, Eric Ramirez, Gary Le, Elizabeth Bottenbey (Revelle proxy), Nick Laub, Sharon Van Bruggen, Alex Morrow, Sara Gyi, Will Schreiner, Muhammed Tauha

II. Public Input

III. Approval of Minutes from February 13, 2018  
    A. Motion: Will
    B. Second: Gary

IV. Approval of Minutes from February 20, 2018  
    A. Motion: Gary
    B. Second: Will

V. Special Presentation

VI. Chair Report  
    A. UCAB has met for the RFP process, and it has been completed. We just have to tally up the scoring. When the name is released, it’s still confidential. We will be hearing the finalist soon, but the information will be held only for UCAB.
    B. We will have budget meeting today after meeting.
    C. When Starbucks came to campus, they said that they would have a scholarship for students, so if anyone is interested in sitting on this committee please let me know.
    D. Speakers List Opened
       1. Nick - Is it a lot of meetings?  
          a) Sharon: It should be one meeting this time. We do have a number of applications, but we should be able to accomplish it in one meeting. Probably an hour and a half.
    E. Speakers List Closed

VII. Vice Chair Report  
    A. We have a few tech fee subsidies from last week.
    B. Do you know when the space allocation will open?  
       1. Sharon: I don’t. We’ll want to review the application and then put that out as soon as you want it.

VIII. Director Report  
    A. Soda and Swine is in plan review. It seems to be going well, and they will get some feedback and then go back to their architects.
    B. For the pizza pub project, we have hired our architect. It’s OVR architect. They focus on creating destination type spaces. We had our kickoff meeting with them earlier this week, and they have some great ideas.
    C. The college room designs are being moved forward. It will probably be done over spring and summer.
IX. New Business

A. Tritones
   1. They meet 4 criteria which permits $1.00 per student with a projected attendance of 150.
   2. Move to fund tritones winter show for $150 - Alex
   3. Second - Muhammed

B. VR Club
   1. They meet 5 criteria.
   2. Projected attendance of 250 people.
   3. I recommend that they are funded for $250.
   4. Move to fund winter showcase for $250 - Gary
   5. Second - Muhammed

C. Fairplay Theatre Company
   1. They meet 7 criteria for $1.50 per student.
   2. I recommend that they're funded for $300.
   3. Move to fund fairplay theatre company for $300 with the stipulation that the business office is able to process it in time - Nick
   4. Second - Alex

D. Musicians Club
   1. Projected attendance of 300. They are requesting $350.
   2. They meet 5 criteria for $1.00 per student.
   3. I recommend to fund them for $300 with the stipulation that the business office is able to process it in time.
   4. Move to fund fairplay theatre company for $300 with the stipulation that the business office is able to process it in time - Gary
   5. Second - Hector

X. Old Business

A. Funding Criteria Language
   1. Speakers List Opened
      a) Gary - I’d like to add “event takes place before week 5” as a criteria. It’s hard for the staff to process all of the events.
      b) Sharon - I appreciate the concern, but we will adjust our staff as needed to accommodate it. If the concern is just about staff, we’ll find a way to manage it.
      c) Gary - It also just helps with students to have things to do. Trying to encourage a more spread out approach.
      d) Nick - Do the people who apply see the criteria?
         (1) Sort of. They apply online and are asked questions but not it’s not clearly stated.
      e) Nick - I think the orgs have dates in mind that they want. I don’t think we should put them behind in criteria for the date they choose.
f) Sharon - On the UCAB website, the person who originally wrote this list wrote a paragraph about what UCAB favors to give students an idea of the types of events that are more likely to be funded.

g) Gary - I was thinking the same thing in the beginning, but it’s not a subtraction but more of a bonus for people who want events before week 5.

h) Alex - I think we should separate the SD community and alumni. Even though alumni could be from the SD community, it doesn’t mean they are and vise versa.

i) Nick - I’d like to have a straw poll about the event takes place in week 5.
   (1) Yes - 2
   (2) No - 5
   (3) Abstain - 1
   (4) Therefore this criteria should not be added.

j) Nick - I know that there’s a legal team that looks at our criteria, but do they also amend it?
   (1) Sharon: I will ask the campus council to review it and let us know if they think it’s content neutral. They won’t amend it themselves, they’ll just suggest for us to amend it.

k) Alex - I think we should have 10 criteria, and that we need to have more ideas that aren’t just the suggested ones we have now.

l) Nick - I brought it back to my council, but they were confused. However, they think that we should include how many people come to the event.

m) Muhammed - My council wouldn’t know exactly what the criteria is. Do we really have to have 10? We can just adjust the fee that we give for the criteria.
   (1) It’s UCAB’s decision for how many criteria to have.

n) Muhammed - If we keep 8 and just change the dollar fee for the criteria, then I think it should be fine since it fulfills all of our requirements.

o) Dennis - Is there a way to gauge how much they’re advertising?
   (1) I don’t know how we would do that. You can ask them to provide something.
   (2) It is a requirement, but it’s kind of lax.

p) Alex - Could we give them a point if they advertise in or through University Centers?

q) Muhammed - I think Dennis’ idea is good. If they get a subsidy from us, then they should be advertising UCAB.

r) Hector - I agree. I feel like if it’s a lax rule then we shouldn’t make it lax and put it in our criteria.
(1) It’s a requirement to receive funding that they have to advertise University Centers. Making it a criteria makes it optional.

s) Nick - I think that advertising is a reasonable one to add.

t) Sharon - If you do include that, it could be a good opportunity to provide orgs with a sheet with free advertising placements. It would be great for them to market the events through University Centers if you want to have the events here.

u) Muhammed - We have the LCD for the Theatre, so if they’re using that or the table cards, then we can make this a criteria (part of the language of the criteria).

v) Nick - If we wanted to approve this do we have to move it to new business?
   (1) No.

w) Nick - For number 4 do you want to add original student center?
   (1) Technically, it’s student center.

x) Nick - I move to change the criteria to 8+ to 7. I also think we should change it to $2.50 if you get above 7 and $2.00 for 5-6.

y) Alex - How has the price changed for using tech in University Centers over the years?
   (1) Sharon: It’s probably due for review, but we would review it against our staffing costs.

z) Alex - Would you advise raising the dollar amount?
   (1) Sharon: I don’t know. It’s hard to say if they’re going to go up or not.

aa) Nick - I have seen where they miss the dollar amount just because of one criteria.

bb) Gary - There are always going to be situations where they miss the dollar amount by one criteria. It looks like most orgs will be about $2.00 per student now if we raise it.

cc) Nick - Would you want the new numbers then?

dd) Gary - I would like to keep the same numbers.

ee) Dennis - It’s UCAB’s role to give back the money to the students.

ff) Muhammed - That won’t be the case every year. It’s not guaranteed that we will have extra money.

gg) Alex - If the money we allocate per year doesn’t get spent then does it roll over into the next year? How much surplus do we usually have?
   (1) No
   (2) I have never seen UCAB’s budget at the end of the year be less than $4000. We have run out before, not in a long time, but we should run out.
hh) Gary - It was raised to $500 for the amount of money per org per year, so maybe we could change that amount.

ii) Sharon - We've talked about how many events we should be doing in University Centers. It can be expensive to do an event, so you might want to consider increasing the amount so that students can run their events.

jj) Gary - What about if orgs collaborate together?

kk) Alex - I like that idea, but I think that we should keep the numbers that we have.

ll) Nick - Do you have any collaborations in mind?

(1) Gary: There aren’t a lot, and the orgs that do collaborate seem to be very similar. If we make it a criteria maybe it will encourage different disciplines to work together.

mm) Alex - It brings more people to the event when orgs collaborate together.

nn) Alex - Can we put that criteria on?

oo) Gary - Can I change them back to the way they were before?

pp) Hector - I know it’s the goal for orgs to collaborate, but I just don’t see them collaborating. Personally, I think it would be detrimental to have that criteria.

qq) Nick - It doesn’t take away from the criteria that are out there, it just helps the events that do collaborate.

rr) Gary - I think if we were trying to just get rid of the money then we would make the criteria easy.

ss) Alex - Straw poll - Who’s comfortable with the criteria right now?

(1) Yes - 6
(2) No - 0
(3) Abstain - 1

tt) Gary - Is there a better order for these? How would you feel about bringing this last draft to your constituents?

uu) Alex - Personally speaking, a lot of my constituents didn’t understand the point behind it. I don’t think it was as valuable.

vv) Hector - I would want to discuss it next week because I would like to take this back to Warren.

ww) Nick - I think everyone should think about the order.

(1) Move to table the discussion till next week - Nick
(2) Second - Hector

2. Speakers List Closed

B. The old t-shirt factory space

1. Speakers List Opened

a) Sharon - Does UCAB have a retreat planned?

(1) No.
b) Sharon - We could have a facilitator come in and help us think about space and needs and do some exercises to make some decisions about how space is utilized.

c) Nick - My constituents wanting something with entertainment value or something like a bowling alley or something that is specialized to that space.

d) Gary - I agree that it should be an entertainment space. I'm still hung up on the arcade and where it should go. I think it should be entertainment and maybe modify the space to open it up more.

2. Speakers List Closed

XI. Member Reports

XII. Open Forum
   A. Gary - It’s already spreading that the place by the post office is interesting.
   B. Nick - Where is the new post office?
      1. It got moved to East campus.
   C. Sharon - Tonight at 7 pm there is an event with the Dean of the Berkeley Law School about free speech. It’s a free event in the theatre.
   D. Sharon - Tomorrow afternoon there are student dialogues happening around the Erwin speech tonight.

XIII. Announcements

XIV. Adjournment
   A. 3:12 p.m.