I. Call to Order
   a. Meeting Called to Order at 2:06pm
   b. Present: Ashley Awe, Natalee De Bruin, Katie Hosch, Daniel Jacobsen (for Bryan Arias), Davina Joshua, Gary Le, Toby Le, Priyanka Lim, Emily Marx, Claire Maniti, Ryan Perez, Aku Rohra, Sharon Van Bruggen, Luke Wang

II. Public Input
   a. None

III. Approval of Minutes
   a. Approved with amendments: GSA rep
      i. Second: Gary

IV. Chair's Report
   a. Meeting with the MSA on Friday has been rescheduled, something lost in communication

V. Vice Chair's Report
   a. Talk more in new business, space allocation Thursday at 2pm

VI. Directors Report
   a. Taco Villa, we have had the preconstruction meeting, the permits issued yesterday, will be installing the fencing first, and the construction will be starting shortly
   b. Commuter lockers, looking at funds for it, part of the budget
   c. Claire: list of changes to the UCAB charter, budget meetings until we get the number to inform next year’s budget. Good to comb over the charter, hasn’t been looked at in a few years
   d. Mystery shopping, invite a friend. See Debbie, she will tell you which vendor
   e. Pub project
      i. Schematics back from architect, sent them feedback and direction
         1. Challenges: front area towards North, where we want a patio, there is a drainage area there, creates some architectural issues
         2. The architects left the front pop-out windows, but we wanted to replace with roll up doors. But since they are having issues with the drainage area, we are looking at, replacing with large windows with a bar such as other bars in San Diego have
         3. Removed Barn doors, but we still want to maintain the access to the stage room
      ii. Entrance of the stage room, has changes
      iii. Issues mean not a concern, but just difficult to place the door in that certain place
      iv. Forgot to include the ADA emergency access plan to the parking lot, have it, just forgot to show it
      v. The renderings are not exact, keep in mind the colors and such will come later
vi. Ryan: where is this facing?
   1. Sharon: it is facing Mandeville

vii. The most desired and best place for patio is facing Mandeville

viii. Inside the space, the bar will be along the back wall, then there is a pass through to the bathrooms and the stage room, keeping the beam structure and the open-ness, it is a big open space for lots of seating

ix. Ryan: the TVs? Is that a window
   1. Sharon: the TVs is where we want to replace the barn doors so that we have access to the stage room

x. Re-using as much from the original structure as possible

xi. Toby: are there going to be tv panels on the other wall?
   1. Sharon: the TVs that were shown, will probably not remain, want to keep barn doors, TVs are something we can suggest

xii. Ryan: porters had their own events on their TVs, can we do the thing with PC tv to advertise
    1. Up to UCAB

xiii. Ryan: is that the patio, the 21+ space?
    1. You can see the patio, that makes it more open, are you inside or outside

xiv. Claire: They haven't included a roll up window, how much bigger it would be?
    1. Can't do roll up, but we want to go as big as possible

xv. Claire: type of fencing we are looking at having?
    1. Matching the student center color and design

xvi. Ashley: the thing the guy is sitting on?
    1. Part of current structure, part of a retaining wall, depend on if we keep it or not

VII. New Business

a. Space Allocation process revision

i. Luke: we have spent the last two weeks looking at the space allocation process, looking at how we assign points, we have instituted a penalty piece. Hopefully board can approve, will then be sent to legal and if they have any issues it will come back to us, etc..

ii. Luke: first part, date registered as an org, new system allocated based on 4 years max because just because an org is old, doesn’t mean they are active. Up to 4 points in this section

iii. Org size, specified as the UCSD student size, redundant to have # of students and active members, there are certain number of orgs who have a large number of inactive members, instead we are using active members who have attended at least 25% of activities

iv. Meetings per Academic year, increases with the amount of meetings

v. Ryan: the orgs that are doing more, will be higher on the list?
   1. Luke: yes, they are more likely to actually need the space

vi. Luke: Number of events, the most drastic changes. Granting points based on amount of points and how large they are, the bigger the event the more points they get, the more events they have, the more points they get
vii. Claire: does it specify, that the events need to be held at UCEN?
   1. Gary: some don't hold events on-campus at all, community service orgs

viii. Emily: community service groups, being able to say community service events, instead of services
   1. Luke: yes, typo

ix. Luke: Penalties, there was no system in old allocation process, there have been complaints, people are not using the space appropriately. Categorized into minor and major offensive. Major is against UCEN or university policies, immediately terminated and not eligible for the following year's space allocation

x. Minor offensives about how they are using the space, using more space than allotted, noise and storage of perishables.

xi. Claire: major offenses, some are much less serious, flammable is more likely to be overlooked
   1. Gary: laboratory grade, lots of things are flammable, so I agree

xii. Claire: who is reporting?

xiii. Emily: It would be a really big deal if people were storing highly flammable substance, makes sense to have a major offense, because of how dangerous it is. Is there going to be some kind of due process with the minor offensive, some kind of mediation?
   1. Luke: we added the appeal process, and that would be the way they could do it
      a. The last bolded paragraph

xiv. Sharon: did your group talk about the length of time of the penalty, hard to see a student org not get a space, when another org that has committed that
   1. Luke: we looked at it, 4 years seemed too long
   2. Gary: not everyone is responsible for this issue, don’t want to punish the entire org

xv. Ryan: would any result in notifying administration?
   1. Luke: I don't think that point was discussed

xvi. Emily: Student orgs sign a principle member agreement, sign to say that they are responsible for the entire membership. I think its fine to hold the whole org

xvii. Claire: very limited amount of access in the space

xviii. Gary: disqualified for 4 years, and reported to authorities

xix. GSA rep: careful for how long the turnaround time is, principle member for 2-3 years, I think 1 year is pretty fair.

xx. Sharon: include a repeat offender situation, if it the same org to get in trouble with the same issue

xxi. Emily: approach would be similar to the student conduct code, sounds like good idea

xxii. Ryan: Have a scale, some that result in notification of authorities, and other not be
xxiii. Claire: I recommend that somewhere for organizations that never pick up their keys
xxiv. Ashley: regarding police reporting, I agree storing something illegal we should report, but tobacco not as dangerous as illegal
xxv. Claire: telling their CSI advisor, about why they lost the space
xxvi. Gary: say that they will be reported to the proper authorities, scaled to the offense
xxvii. Gary: take a look at the appeal process
xxviii. Luke: once the org has been notified, they can file an appeal within 2 weeks, then they
xxix. Claire: amend to academic weeks
xxx. Ryan: present their case, what would be the extent of this case
    1. Gary: ample time, to let them chose if they want to appeal, gather whatever information they wanted to
xxxi. Claire: want to clarify the process
xxxii. Emily: written appeal process might be more efficient
xxxiii. Sharon: take out appeal submitted to the director, reports of issues to me
xxxiv. Claire: suggestion to send to UCAB Vice chair AND chair
xxxv. Ryan: the 3 week period is for them to notify us.
    1. Gary: you have 1 week for the notice, and then you have 2 weeks to file an appeal, and then the third week to come into UCAB
xxxvi. Claire: within 3 weeks to present the entire case
xxxvii. Luke: look at minor offensives, after 6 offensives they will not be eligible for the following year
xxxviii. Ryan: I think it is a good number, gave you enough chances to get your act together
xxxix. Gary: each deduction as minus points, the next time they apply we will take that into account
xl. Dan: theoretical point max?
    1. Luke: no theoretical max
xli. Sharon: what happens if they have all 6 points in one quarter
    1. Gary: there will be a waitlist, immediately terminated and someone on the waitlist will be moved into that space
xlii. Claire: a single org that racked up a lot of minor offensives, but not necessarily 6 points.
xliii. Ashanta: say 2 points per quarter and a probation, and 6 points
b. UCEN referendum language
   i. To increase the tech fee from $350 to $500 per year
   ii. We have had less orgs ask for it, but
   iii. Gary: can we slowly scale up?
       1. No
   iv. Luke: speaking from experience, we have never allocated the full 10,000 dollars, so I think increasing it to 500 is fine
   v. Gary: what does the 23% mean
       1. Claire: we are only discussing the
vi. Gary: max of 12-14 events to be held, not including the events that can come back. $400?

vii. Ashley: not that many orgs that would qualify anyways, and it is written in the referendum so I think it should be upheld

viii. Claire: should this be happening this year? With the allocated 10,000, in future years

ix. Ashley: move to raise tech fee subsidy to 500 /year
   1. Ryan: second
   2. Toby: object for question: has anyone ever requested $500?
      a. Claire: I can imagine a situation, but I am not sure if there has ever been one
      b. Emily: have had in the past, but before criteria. Now, maybe graduation large-scale events, think about allocation
      c. Toby: withdraw objection
   3. Sharon: do we want them to be able to retroactively request the $500?
      a. Ashley: one org last week, event not until May. I think it should apply retroactively, through last week
   4. Gary: will they have the ability to come back?
      a. Luke: yes, they can come back for 150
   5. Ashley: applying for events happening after this event
      a. Toby: second
   6. Ashley: move to change the tech fee subsidy to 500$ per year per org for events happening after this date

VIII. Old Business
   a. none

IX. Member Reports
   a. none

X. Open Forum
   a. Ryan: went for the VR, over 200 people
   b. Ashley: fill in PC amphitheater, and build a dog park, outside outlet seating
   c. Priyanka: chairs and tables in the PC plaza, made the point to make the tables and chairs lighter
      i. Sharon: yes, very loud. But need to be strong enough to not be stolen

XI. Announcements
   a. Claire: Ramen night on Friday 6-8, conflict mediation
   b. Ryan: breakfast at the loop, week 8, want to help me let me know

XII. Adjournment
   a. Meeting adjourned at 3:06pm