

UCAB Winter Week 10 Meeting Minutes 10 March 2015

CALL TO ORDER- 2:05 PM

OPENING ROLL CALL-Tiffany Miao, Gary Le, Bryan Arias, Handa Yang, Ashley Awe, Steven Partida, Colin King, Jehoan Espinoza, Luke Wang, Louie Wang, Jason Thornton, Sharon Van Bruggen, Stephen Shepard

APPROVAL OF MINUTES- 2:07 PM

Motion to approve by Gary with a second from Bryan Arias

SPACE ALLOCATION-2:08 PM

We will be meeting after this meeting. That will take a few hours but there will be food.

VICE CHAIR'S REPORT- 2:09 PM

I want to have a discussion about whether or not we require people to come in about the tech fee waiver. I made a pro and con's list.

PROS-efficiency of UCAB, scheduling of other people

CONS-connect people to UCAB, assume responsibility for the money they want to get, professional development

Louie- For the GSA and College Councils reps, how many of you report the events to your council?

0-8

Handa-I think we can do some kind of compromise. It would be nice for them to come in if they want to. We should fix the form.

Gary-I agree with what Handa said.

Bryan A-I think they should come in because we are subsidizing just a large amount.

Is there the possibility for falsification of data?

Jehoan- I like that the students have to come into UCAB.

Steven-I like the idea of having people to come in to meeting. It's important for UCAB to be known.

Ashley-If we take away the instances of contact, more questions will arise. I'm concerned that if we take away personal contact, UCAB will only be seen in a negative way.

Gary-We should tell people our criterion so that they are more prepared. I agree the face-to-face time expedites the process.

Handa-If we clearly define our criteria, they cannot cheat. We can do both and make the form better.

Bryan A-I think we need to set a hard deadline.

Ashley-I don't think this is just about our professional development.

Louie-We should do both. We need to set a hard deadline. This could save a lot of time.

Gary-When a group presents it doesn't take that long and I feel this puts too much pressure on the vice chair. The harms outweigh the benefits.

Handa-Let's clarify the problems. We should have the criterion answered by the person who made the tech fee waiver?

UCAB Winter Week 10 Meeting Minutes 10 March 2015

Colin-We aren't going to do anything else besides giving the money to the tech fee waiver.

Sharon-The criterion were developed to implement the priorities of UCAB

Gary-That is a benefit of having them publicized. Most of the information in the new system is in the form already.

Jehoan-All of the criterion are vaguely put in the application. If students would read the application process, they would know what we base our allocation off of.

Louie-We have to publicize certain criteria.

Gary-I think we need to find a balance between vague and explicit criteria.

Handa-We should vaguely publicize certain criteria.

Colin-If we continue our current system, we need to work on rectifying the current problems.

Tiffany-We can notify them of our questions in the email they get.

Gary-There is no way to completely rectify the problem of people not knowing what they are presenting on.

Colin-I feel people want to keep it as is. It is not our role to ensure professional development. The inconveniencing effect is pretty significant. The Vice-Chair does not do the same amount of work as the Chair but we get paid the same.

Claire-There are a lot of organizations that don't utilize tech fee subsidies. We should be running out of that money every year. Having students come in who aren't the ones that planned the event may not be know the full event.

Gary-I value students coming in to present. We should offload the information prior to the discussion. We can charge it to a committee or hybridize the system.

Louie-I think the application should be set up with deadlines and criterion. If they meet the criterion and deadlines, there is no need for them to come in. The authority for allocation can go to the vice-chair or committee.

Handa-A committee would be a good solution. The committee can then bring their recommendation to UCAB as a whole,

Claire- I think for gauging the criteria we should not allow students to be able to talk around the criteria.

Gary-I thought the reason we made the criteria more vague because we were running out of money in the past. We aren't any more.

Ashley-Why don't we make it so that the questions are more definitive? We should streamline the process. Human interaction is important.

Jehoan-The way your are proposing is that the Vice Chair will be asking the questions instead of UCAB to prevent the inconvenience for people having to come into UCAB.

Bryan-I don't think we should make another committee since we have problems filling the committees we currently have.

Louie-If they want to come let them come, but lets also give them the choice of application. I move to setup an application with a second by Ashley. Objected by Luke.

Luke-Two options seem contradictory to the purpose of streamlining the process. 2-9-2 failed.

Handa- Move to table until our next meeting with a second by Louie Wang

UCAB Winter Week 10 Meeting Minutes 10 March 2015

CHAIR REPORT-2:46 PM

The Che Collective has declined our offer of the space saved in the Old Student Center. The problem for us is deciding who will get the space. We should have the discussion early next week.

The VCSA sent a notice requesting the Che Collective voluntarily leave the space by March 14th. If they fail to, the university will move forward with the eviction process. The process will be much faster in the future.

The Chancellor passed the UCEN referendum on Friday. I'm going to let Colin talk about the campaigns.

Colin-As UCAB, we can only support the neutral campaign. Any person can apply to write the pro or con campaigns.

Claire-If we have a pro campaign, the campaign will take place outside of General UCAB meetings.

Colin-You cannot use your position to endorse the referendum.

Bryan A-When will we know who is running the campaigns?

Claire-When we know who will run the campaigns, you can move forward to work with them.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT-2:52 PM

Sharon is explaining the layout of the Starbucks space.

Sharon is now showing the possible color pallets for the space. We have a light issue in the space. We need a dark pallet to balance it off.

Claire-I cannot tell the difference between the color pallets.

Handa-Why are the colors so dark?

They went natural and earthy.

Colin-The colors seem industrial.

Handa-How long will it take to change the ballet?

Louie-I don't know how many people want a beachy Starbucks.

Gary-To me that doesn't seem like an earthy vibe.

Claire-I like the colors. My concern is the student reaction. Starbucks said they would tailor the store to our campus.

Handa-I agree completely. This is not what we thought we would get.

Louie-Can we put the lighter paint on the wall?

Ashley-I am not going to be upset if the wall is darker. Is there a way we can add the blue into the mural?

Sharon-I took the pictures from my iPhone, so it is darker in the picture than it actually is. The dark color is above you in the actual space.

Colin-Was the wood recycled?

Paint recommendation-3-5-Option B

Floor recommendation- Black Tiles

Chair-Straight or Rounded-8-1 Rounded Chair wins

OPEN FORUM-3:19 PM

Stephen Shepard-I'm leaving to go study in Washington D.C. MCC is working on getting a replacement.

UCAB Winter Week 10 Meeting Minutes 10 March 2015

CLOSING ROLL CALL- Gary Le, Handa Yang, Steven Partida, Colin King, Jehoan Espinoza, Luke Wang, Louie Wang, Jason Thornton, Sharon Van Bruggen, Stephen Shepard, Emily Marx, Claire Maniti

ADJOURN-3:20 PM