UCAB Meeting Minutes  
Week 9  
November 22, 2016

I. Call to Order
   a. Meeting called to at 2:06pm
   b. Present: [members] Ashley Awe, Megan Chu, Alexandra Coranado, Natalee DeBruin, Robert Gougelet, Evan Jan, Gary Le, Toby Le, Dennis Mahaketa, John Payne, Andrew Thai, Emily Trask, Roy Velasquez, Luke Wang
      i. [public] Cara Eskelsen

II. Approval of minutes
   . Minutes weren’t sent out, will be talked about next week

III. Special Presentation

IV. Public Input
   a. Saratones
      i. School of pharmacy
      ii. Charity concert in January
      iii. 350$ gave estimate of 400$
      iv. jan 12 6-8pm
      v. Natalee: where?
         1. Price center east ballroom
      vi. Natalee: how many
         1. Maximum 150
      vii. Andrew: repeat what it is
         1. Concert is called love made audible, charity donations, sophies center, center for disabled children
      viii. Toby: funding?
         1. Yes, GSA grant for 350 and the triton community fund and outside fundraising
      ix. Gary: alumni
         1. Yes, the event is open to students at the school of pharmacy, all student and members of the public. Pharmacists from the community performs

V. Chair Report
   a. Member at large candidates, only undergraduate applied, have grad position still open. Will be discussed in new business
   b. Vendor selection email sent out to review rfp proposals for the dlush space.

VI. Vice Chair Report
   a. 2 tech fee subsidies in new business

VII. Director's Report
   a. UC Directors conference, many involved thinking about referendums
      i. Students barricaded in the bookstore because of allocation of space in Santa Cruz
      ii. 3rd in system to get amazon campus
   b. The pub, bids came in 4 bids, until the 23 to contest amount. 3 very competitive. Money enough to do the 4 alternates
   c. Have a happy thanksgiving
VIII. New Business
   a. Tech fee
      i. Tritones Fall Show
         1. Friday dec 2, 8pm PC Ballroom East
         2. Meets 6 criteria
         3. 1.50x 350 ppl requested 500$
         4. Recommendation to fund 500 with stipulation that the business office can process the request
            a. So moved by Gary
            b. Second: Rob
      ii. Seratones
         1. Meets 7 criteria
         2. 1.50 x 150
         3. Move to fund $225: Evan
            a. Toby: second
   b. Member at large application
      i. Move into closed session: Luke
         1. Second: Natalee
      ii. Move to exit closed session at 2:33pm: Evan
         1. Second: Toby

IX. Old Business
   a. Hi Thai Lease Renewal
      i. Ad Hoc committee
         1. Data based on survey of 500-600 survey results
         2. Food handling the biggest complaint
         3. Food is not cooked or its poor cooking
         4. Not authentic, but they still like the variety
         5. Price to quality ratio not good
         6. People want healthier options
         7. Conclusions:
            a. Written food handling protocol before and after changes
            b. Identify new or better sources or different ingredients
            c. Redesign a streamlined visual menu display
            d. Menu change for more authentic food
         8. Rob: this is things we want them to change, so it will read very negative, but the typical response is good
         9. Gary: strangeness in the results, more information to look out.
            Students are less likely to understand about renew a lease
         10. Evan: structured the survey that created confusion
         11. Evan: comments we got was hi thai has promise, just needs some updates, would it be possible to get an investment, with a match from ucen
             a. The lounge is all ucen space
         12. Andrew: are there plans for refurbishment
             a. John: not in dining area, in the lounge space to put study carols, and a remove built in bench to move lounge furniture.
Add some art or something on the wall. Can investigate tables maybe

13. Emily: is there a way to say, we will invest in space, if you take our suggestions too, then it’s a team effort.
14. Evan: fyi, break down responses in year, declining. Revelle and muir dislike it more EHS rating?
   a. John: I know its an A
15. Gary: breakdown percentage between revelle and muir
   a. Revelle 50/50, sixth 33/66 no/yes.
16. Luke: was the result statistically significant
   a. Evan: yes
17. Evan: there were 3 results that were duplicate entries, 597 responses
18. Rob: motion to approve Hi Thai's lease for 2 years with suggestions
   a. Megan: yes
   b. Evan: objection
   c. Rob: I think they've earned a few more years, and gives them the option to stay
   d. Evan: yes, but I think we should table until we can talk to Hi Thai
   e. Rob: withdraw
19. Evan: motion table to week 10 have explore fiscal commitment to hi thai
   a. Second: Rob
   b. Objection for question: Gary
      i. Evan: I think it’s a good course, and allows new member to weigh in
      ii. Gary: im not sure Mou is the best course of action. Is an Mou the proper piece of legislation to persue? Are you suggesting that the lease be contingent amongst these metric? Who will be responsible for writing and getting proper approval?
         1. Ashley: metrics wouldn't play a part in the lease, our commitment to rejuvenating the space, discussion for next week
         2. John: no such thing as an MOU, would have to be amended to lease. But I like the idea of it
         3. Rob: I suggested for two years because we wouldn't have to change the lease to move forward, short enough time that if they don't make the changes we can find replacement.
         4. Evan: it wasn't an mou is what we need, just the idea of a commitment from the vendor to make sure they make the suggestions and we'll do our part. If its any fiscal commitment, then we should
approve for longer because then they would be willing to make the commitment
5. Megan: we have been discussing this for weeks. All we can ask them to change is what we have heard, 2 years is a good amount, gives them ample time for things to change and people to notice. To grad students, it’s a big part of our lives
6. Gary: not fair to say we are discussing financial commitments, we would renovating the space anyways, not fair to say. If they would be willing to make fiscal contributions as well
7. Rob: I don't think these recommendations are that pricey.
   1. John: cost adds up on renovating the space. Food quality is a different story. Can't speak to food logistics
8. Evan: interior, fair amount of comments that discussed the interior looking gross and dingy. With food quality being horrible.
   1. Luke: only responsible for bussing the space, ucens responsibility to renovate the space.
9. Dennis: cleanliness, if regular food poisoning and bug in food means backspace is extremely dirty
10. Gary: way for us to give an overview of what the back of the house looked like
    1. John: handled through ehs
11. Evan: Call the question
    1. Rob: Second

c. Vote: 4-8-1
   i. Yes: 4
   ii. No: 8
   iii. Abstain: 1
20. Rob: motion to approve Hi Thai’s lease for 2 years with suggestions
   a. Gary: Second
   b. Evan: object for amendment
   c. Rob: everything I said before, reasonable amount of time and not too much time for us, good list of suggestions
   d. Evan: amend to renew the lease for 2 years with the additional EHS inspections at minimum once per quarter at the expense of hi thai
   e. Gary: I think that 4 is good, but that what its supposed to say anyways, not good to single out
   f. Rob: We should avoid changing the lease because it brings in the law. I think our suggestions suffice for now.
g. Ashley: Doesn’t this implicate EHS and there is only one inspector
i. John: reality twice a year at best, vendors pay for their inspections. Che was the only non-A rating since I've been here. Inspector will do a follow up report

h. Natalee: I think it is fair to make them get more inspections, when people get sick from hi thai

i. Evan: I agree, its fair because we have received their concerns over health and safety, there needs to be an additional force upon it. EHS is a tangible metric. We can see where they are and where they were

j. Megan: If they are already open to getting quarterly reviews and ehs is strapped for time, how can we enforce on hi thai when its not their fault

i. John: we can call the inspector and have them do more inspections of hi thai. Reprioritize. Our staff will be in charge of making sure it happens

k. Rob: this could be something added to the suggestion list rather than the lease, good to be resolved immediately, but if we can get the ball rolling on additional inspections

l. Evan: I believe it should be put into hard language, making it legally binding because of health and safety. Protecting students

m. John: we get complaints from other restaurants as well

n. Megan: if they are already inspected four times a year, we should do that

o. Gary: don't think it has to be hard language, because we can hold the additional inspections anyways. Can be done internally.

p. Luke: we can just request additional inspections it doesn't have to be in their lease

q. Natalee: what kind of numbers would constitute a trend of food poisoning

i. Condensed and concentrated, narrow time frame, similar kinds of food

r. Evan: withdraw amendment

s. Roll Call Vote: 8-3-1

i. Ashley Awe: Yes

ii. Megan Chu: Yes

iii. Alexandra Coranado: No

iv. Natalee DeBruin: No

v. Robert Gougelet: Yes

vi. Evan Jan: Yes

vii. Gary Le: Yes

viii. Toby Le: Yes

ix. Dennis Mahaketa: Yes

x. Andrew Thai: Yes

xi. Roy Velasquez: No

X. Member Reports

XI. Open Forum

XII. Announcements
   a. Ashley: take some pie
   b. Dennis: automatic doors not working, are they checked
      i. John: please get more information on where the doors are

XIII. Adjournment
   a. Meeting adjourned at 3:29pm