University Centers Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes – AMENDED
Spring 2019, Week #2
April 9, 2019

Opening

The regular meeting of the University Centers Advisory Board was called to order at 2:03 pm on April 9, 2019 by Alex Morrow in Warren College Room.

Attendees

1. Alex Morrow (UCAB Chair)
2. Joey Mendoza (UCAB Vice Chair)
3. Liora Kian-Gutierrez (Staff Representative)
4. Pamela Fruge (Alumni Representative)
5. Reilly Cannon (Revelle Representative)
6. Valerie Saiag (GSA Representative)
7. Harrison Oliphant (Alumni Representative)
8. Tanmay Sheyte (Warren Representative)
9. Aditya Arora (Warren Representative Shadow)
10. Sharon Van Bruggen (Executive Director) – ad hoc, non-voting
11. Ashli Perkins (UCAB Secretary) – non-voting

Public Input
- None

Approval of Minutes
- Motion to approve the minutes from Week 1 of Spring 2019 as amended: Liora
  - Second: Tanmay

Special Presentations
- Alex stated that they have 15 minutes and there will need to be a motion to extend time.
- Rae Hartigan – “projects in planning and design around the University Center”
  - Outline of campus design changes:
    - Primarily suburban campus
    - Pepper canyon housing for upper division students
    - Amphitheater
    - Implementing Rupertus walk
    - Changes to ridge walk
    - Triton pavilion
    - Torrey pines living and learning
- Sharon asked: can Rae point out student center (original)?
  - Rae used pointer to do this.
• Aditya asked for clarification about housing plans in pepper canyon
  o Rae: this would be housing for juniors and seniors who don’t currently have housing on campus
• Changing Rupertus way to bike lanes and moving cars away from this area which hopefully prompt people will walk further
  o Joey asked: we call ourselves price and university center, but what is the difference in the name of the project from the name we call ourselves?
    ▪ Rae: it’s solely the name of the project.
  o Tanmay asked: where is the funding coming from?
    ▪ Rae: Different sorts of funding.
    ▪ Loans and other options, but not student funding.
• Lyman lane, Russell, and Gilman walk area will be the main focus of development
• Idea to get cars outside of university center core
• Russell will end in a cul-de-sac
• Rupertus will be for bikes and other transportation devices only
• All other buildings will remain in the same location
• Brooke - Triton Pavilion transforming campus center to showcase university’s culture
• Lyman will occur first, not simultaneously with other projects, town square will be the last piece to go
• Will utilize/re-purpose architecture of town square
• Total gross square footage: 350,000
  o Welcome center (building c)
  o Alumni center (building c)
  o Health and well-being (building b)
  o University extension (building e)
  o Global education (building d)
  o Campus support (building a)
  o Retail and restaurant
  o Meeting and event spaces
  o Fitness center
• Creating six buildings instead of the one building. All will be located on top of the parking podium.
• Creating proposed social ecosystem
  o Indoor-outdoor atmosphere
• Projected end date is 2023
• Idea is not to isolate price center or create competing interest
  o Aditya asked: Is 2023 the date of the whole project or just Lyman?
  o Rae: The whole project is to be complete by July of 2023
• Ridge-walk Project
  o Want to make it more recognizable so those can traverse campus easily
  o Make it more mobile for bikes, scooters, skateboards
  o Create an active public realm to leverage outdoor space
- Connectors where those will see the lanes
- Provide physical separation of pedestrians and bicyclists

- Motion to extend time for presentation for 20 minutes by Joey
  - Second by Reilley
    - Alex asked: How are they going to control the different types of transportation?
      - Looking at geo-fencing (scooter speed) and looking at ways to enforce dismounting and double walking speed. No clear answer currently, but it’s being discussed.
    - Joey stated: I didn’t know about integration of parking for scooters. When looking online to make a presentation, it’s hard for students and others alike to be aware of these changes.
    - Robert commented: Some of it is concept and not fully implemented in design yet.
    - Alex asked for clarity about where the hump is and where the biker path is?
      - Sign and pavement texture saying that you’re entering new environment will be how it’s done
      - Tanmay commented: I skateboard and I know others will just go around since the bike path seems small.
        - Ticketing would be the solution
      - Valerie asked: Could you make the path larger?
        - Giving them more space will make them go faster
      - Joey asked: Couldn’t officers and students come together regarding this situation?
        - 2019 is actually the implementation date so implementation is happening right now.
      - Aditya asked: what if people walk on the bike path?
        - That would be part of enforcement, but pedestrians can technically walk anywhere.
      - Joey stated that these are cultural changes. It’s a cultural thing to UCSD.

- Rae: Pepper-canyon neighborhood planning study
  - First to build pepper canyon west which are high rises for upper division
  - Connecting to Rupertus to trolley station
  - Will be amphitheater
  - About 2000 beds
  - Rupertus walk
  - Shuttle stop will be next to trolley station
  - Matthew apartments will be redeveloped in the future
  - Design and innovation building would also be implemented
    - Tanmay asked: what college is pepper canyon housing with? And who gets housing?
Rae: It’s not affiliated with a college. And that would be a housing question.

Aditya asked: will there be a path connecting Matthew housing to pepper canyon?

Rae: For a while they’ll have to go around, and part of it will be under construction. But Rupertus will be near sixth college lane.

Motion to extend time for questions for 10 minutes by Joey

Second by Liora

Joey asked: Sticking with brutalism style?

Design isn’t permanent

Sharon noted: There is an inter relation between student communities and there are connections and conversations between current buildings and new buildings. So keep this in mind.

Joey stated: Everything is in phases, but they show everything at once

Joey asked: Can Rae go back to picture that depicted traffic flow? And noted that traffic movement is important to recognize.

Liora asked: triton pavilion mentioned restaurants, plural?

Brooke: Yes (explained this with the picture displayed in the presentation)

Liora asked this because it affects the board and asked if there will be alcohol

Brooke said that would be for retail to answer

Sharon asked: is it four restaurants?

Brooke specified that there are three and one is a grab and go place.

Aditya asked: if the presentation is current/right now?

Brooke: yes. Currently validating program. End of August, 50% scamatic design

Plan Design build sight will help understand concept

Sharon: all projects are connecting university center which are adjacent to university center. She asked: I’m wondering about Lyman and if a conversation can occur regarding entry?

Brooke: Just need to identify when you all meet and to make that meeting happen with Harrison (Alumni rep).

Sharon commented: might rethink project depending on other projects

Joey added: like tapioca express patio (south east patio).

Rae asking Sharon: can you summarize grand plan?

Sharon: It’s shade structure that’s visual and for those to see that there’s a destination. And we don’t have a budget for this so we’re focusing on furniture to make this happen.

Alex asked: what places will be moving to a new location?

Brooke: The bookstore, health center, alumni and possibly the box office.

Joey commented: It’s nice to see how these plans are coming about and thank you for your time. It’s important to think ahead.

Alex asked if there are any other questions they can be emailed to him (Alex).
Chair Report
• Going to send out a schedule of when to meet for budget meeting which is beginning week 3 and ending week 6. Ask those you interact with for ideas about how to use the budget.
  o Tanmay: Charging stations is what many colleges want
  o Sharon: University center can bring a budget to start with

Vice Chair Report
• Previews for next week about transfer student representation
• Alex asked: any tech fee subsidies?
  o Joey said no

Director Report
• None.

New Business.
• No tech fee subsidies
• Bringing on transfer representative from those who live on and off campus (commuter as well)
• Joey stated: on UCAB Website, there is an application for a member at large
• Joey said: I am a transfer student and it's different from those who are commuters and those who live on campus. For AS, only requirement is GPA and being enrolled at UCSD. And do we want a transfer commuter or an on campus transfer student? Number of representatives doesn’t matter since there has never been a tie before on voting.
• Reilley said that individual colleges don’t have representatives from both on and off campus, so one is fine.
• Valerie said the demographic from 21-23 year olds is different compared to someone in their 30s who has children and families.
• Tanmay said commuters and commuter transfers are different and thinks two representatives is good, but finding commuter transfers would be a challenge especially for providing resources.
• Joey noted that staff, alumni, and graduates bring different demographic. And he agreed with Tammy about the challenge mentioned above.
• Valerie noted that she didn’t mean to put an age gap/barrier since those that have families could be 16.
• Alex noted that transfers would speak for different transfer organizations. And assumes there are more on campus transfer students.
• Tammy noted off campus transfers are more important since those at the village have that space and dining dollars.
  o Valerie seconds Tammy’s point
• Motion to table this discussion about transfers until next week by Joey
  o Valerie seconds this
Old Business
• None

Member Reports
• Harrison noted that alumni representatives term out at week five and asks about other colleges
  o Tammay noted that for Warren and other colleges it’s a different process and asked for those to vote on triton link because warren doesn’t have a big voter turn-out and if that occurs, nothing changes.

Open Forum
  o Sharon notes that Harrison is on the board with Rae
    ▪ Harrison noted he is UCSD student rep
    ▪ Aditya asked how to get on these councils?
      • Harrison said he was nominated by a fourth year
    ▪ Sharon said reps go to AS and GSA for this to occur, so all you may need to do is ask.

Announcements
  o Alex stated: respond to my email with availability and asked Sharon if there was more time before to discuss budget.
    ▪ Sharon: No this is the usual.
  o Alex asked if anyone is available at the same time of this meeting, but on Thursday
    ▪ Tammay and Aditya said yes
    ▪ Sharon and Liora noted they might be available depending on which Thursday it is.

Adjournment
Meeting was adjourned at 3:22pm by Alex Morrow. The next general meeting will be held at 2:00 pm on April 16, 2019 in the Warren College Room.